

## **Continued desecration of the countryside for mere convenience**

Another argument is that it would reduce the scourge of flytipping. However, the Council's own reports suggest that fly tipping has reduced since closure. The flytipping that does occur is primarily trade waste that the site would not accept or waste that flytippers have to pay to drop at the other Council sites. If a flytipper is not prepared to pay to drop at one of the other sites, they are not going to be prepared to pay to drop at this site.

The reality of the application is that it is based on "convenience". The applicant's do not want to drive the extra distance on the occasional times that they need to visit a waste site. The applicant's village is most affected in this regard. The Council's own consultation determined that most users were within 7 miles/10 minutes of an alternative. Those most effected where from Oxfordshire whose own council considers that its waste provision is reasonable.

This application therefore comes down to whether saving time on the occasional trip to a waste site is worth more than protecting the AONB and Greenbelt landscape and allowing a site in a beautiful location to be enhanced.

We believe that this is wrong. Helping our environment, improving biodiversity and saving or enhancing our landscapes and wildlife require positive action. Objecting to this application so that the land can be put to a more environmentally favourable use is positive action and we respectfully ask you to support us in objecting to this application.